Statement of Dr. M.L. Levin representing the American Public Health Association House of Representatives Hearing, 1965.

RTISING--1965

Association on H.R. 4244, ₹ 4007

f. @partment of epidemiology, [ I titute)

I'am here to testify on behalf regarding H.R. 4244, H.R. 3014,

dule degree of doctor of public no started a study of the relation sistant director, and subsequently the New York State Department ntisommissioner of health in the nd 1960 I have been chief of the Memorial Institute, which is the New York State Department of ate author, 11 papers on the relaexperience and publications nla e.

a mitional organization comprised rith public health and the prevenmed cigarette smoking have long somition. The association is comerschnel who are charged by law ease and to advise the public

lopting such methods.

ation, an affiliate of the Amerier and urging that the youth to smoke. In 1959, the governing ition adopted a similar resolution. lompresent schoolchildren would e broad educational effort "to il called attention to the increased i posed that educational activi-led of cigarette smoking in the za." The resolution class a to regulate the advertising of tached, app. A and B.)

rossional health organizations, at health agencies, and research a sealth agencies, and bridence bridence includes data This evidence includes data smoke, animal experiments on the catnined in tobacco tar and of in lung tissue, studies of perof well persons to determine moking habits and other characterin the mortality and incidence of

id by these health agencies have attract hazard, ay if gaging its magnitude is hy ignrette smokers; i.e., the deaths ortality experience of nonsmokers. in e, taking into account the age rsis smokers and nonement 1502. Over 200,000 deaths, about among cigarette smokers. Of the te snokers, over 23 percent were ry al cancer, chronic bronchitis, e reest number—131,000—of the se are the diseases designated by casually related or which should e amoking. Allowing for approxiCIGARETTE LABELING AND ADVERTISING-

mately 20 percent over estimate due to nonresponse bias leaves a minimum estimate of over 100,000 deaths each year among males from diseases casually related to cigarette smoking. It is clear that the excess mortality among eigarette smokers today is one of our greatest health problems and, fortunately, one for which a great deal can be done in the future—if the proper public action is taken.

The most important practical aspect of the health hazard of cigarette smoking is in its preventive possibilities. Numerous studies have shown that persons who stop smoking eigarettes experience, within 5 years, a reduction of over 50 percent in the risk of developing lung cancer. Recent studies reported by Doyle and his associates (Journal of the American Medical Association, Dec. 4, 1964) show that whereas moderate to heavy cigarette smokers observed over an 8- to 10-year period experience three times as many attacks of coronary artery disease, persons who had stopped smoking had no greater incidence of heart attacks than did nonsmokers.

At present there is little that can be offered medically to counteract the effect of cigarette smoking other than prevention, by stopping smoking, smoking less, or by reducing the amount of tar intake. To achieve this requires widespread public education in order to provide individuals with sufficient motivation to change their smoking habits or not to acquire them. Enactment of the proposed legislation now under consideration would tend to provide such motivation.

Reasons for adopting health warning and tar and nicotine labeling

1. Animal studies conducted at the Roswell Park Memorial Institute by Dr-Fred Bock and others have shown that the cancer producing effect on animals is directly proportional to the amount of tar contained in each brand of cigarette. A reduction of 30 to 60 percent in tar content resulted in an equivalent reduction in the percentage of animals (mice) which developed skin cancer as a result of application. We do not, however, have direct evidence of any differential effect on humans.

2. The proposed labeling would remind the consumer of the health hazards of smoking, in relation to tar intake, and encourage the use of cigarettes with lower

3. The proposed legislation would indicate to the general public the extent of governmental concern over the health hazards of cigarette smoking. In Buffalo, N.Y., we have been conducting smoking clinics for almost 2 years to help smokers who want to stop smoking and educational activities among schoolchildren. One of the most frequently asked questions has been: Since smoking is a serious health hazard, why doesn't the Federal Government do something about it? Enactment of the proposed legislation would provide at least a partial answer to that pertinent question.

4. The cost of a broad-scale public educational program regarding smoking on a scale comparable to the expenditures for eighrette advertising would be great; the type of labeling proposed in these bills would be an effective, less costly,

although partial substitute for such an educational program.

It is our view that enactment of the proposed legislation would constitute a moderate, even minimal, but nonetheless effective response of the Congress in attempting to counter the continued drain on our human resources resulting from the widespread use of cigarettes by our population. The American Public Health Association accordingly urges favorable consideration of the proposed legislation which will require labeling cigarette packages with a warning as to the potential injury to health, the tar and nicotine content, and which will regulate advertising which portrays eigarette smoking as a wholesome or safe activity.

## APPENDIX A

## LUNG CANCER AND CIGARETTE SMOKING

Whereas lung cancer is a rapidly increasing fatal disease which now kills more than 25,000 people in the United Staes each year and if present trends continue will claim the lives of more than 1 million present schoolchildren in this country before they reach the age of 70 years; and

Whereas scientific evidence has established that excessive cigarette smoking

is a major factor in the disease; and

Whereas public health officials of the United States and many other countries have pointed out the relationship between cigarette smoking and lung cancer: Therefore be it